









REFERENCES

[1] Mike Ananny and Kate Crawford. 2018. Seeing without knowing: Limitations of the transparency ideal and its application to algorithmic accountability. *New Media & Society* 20, 3 (2018), 973–989.

[2] Roi Blanco, Diego Ceccarelli, Claudio Lucchese, Raffaele Perego, and Fabrizio Silvestri. 2012. You should read this! let me explain you why: explaining news recommendations to users. In *Proceedings of the 21st ACM international conference on Information and knowledge management*. ACM, 1995–1999.

[3] Philip Bonhard and Martina Angela Sasse. 2006. “Knowing me, knowing you” – Using profiles and social networking to improve recommender systems. *BT Technology Journal* 24, 3 (2006), 84–98.

[4] Svetlin Bostandjiev, John O’Donovan, and Tobias Höllerer. 2012. TasteWeights: a visual interactive hybrid recommender system. In *Proceedings of the sixth ACM conference on Recommender systems*. ACM, 35–42.

[5] Svetlin Bostandjiev, John O’Donovan, and Tobias Höllerer. 2013. LinkedVis: exploring social and semantic career recommendations. In *Proceedings of the 2013 international conference on Intelligent user interfaces*. ACM, 107–116.

[6] Simon Bruns, André Calero Valdez, Christoph Greven, Martina Ziefle, and Ulrik Schroeder. 2015. What should I read next? a personalized visual publication recommender system. In *International Conference on Human Interface and the Management of Information*. Springer, 89–100.

[7] Nicole Curato, John S Dryzek, Selen A Ercan, Carolyn M Hendriks, and Simon Niemeyer. 2017. Twelve key findings in deliberative democracy research. *Daedalus* 146, 3 (2017), 28–38.

[8] Nicholas Diakopoulos. 2017. Enabling accountability of algorithmic media: transparency as a constructive and critical lens. In *Transparent Data Mining for Big and Small Data*, Tania Cerquitelli, Daniele Quercia, and Frank Pasquale (Eds.). Springer, 25–43.

[9] Nicholas Diakopoulos and Michael Koliska. 2017. Algorithmic transparency in the news media. *Digital Journalism* 5, 7 (2017), 809–828.

[10] Michael D Ekstrand, Daniel Kluver, F Maxwell Harper, and Joseph A Konstan. 2015. Letting users choose recommender algorithms: An experimental study. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*. ACM, 11–18.

[11] Michael D Ekstrand and Martijn C Willemsen. 2016. Behaviorism is not enough: better recommendations through listening to users. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*. ACM, 221–224.

[12] Simone Fischer-Hübner, Julio Angulo, Farzaneh Karegar, and Tobias Pulls. 2016. Transparency, Privacy and Trust—Technology for Tracking and Controlling My Data Disclosures: Does This Work?. In *IFIP International Conference on Trust Management*. Springer, 3–14.

[13] Gerhard Friedrich and Markus Zanker. 2011. A taxonomy for generating explanations in recommender systems. *AI Magazine* 32, 3 (2011), 90–98.

[14] David Graus, Maya Sappelli, and Dung Manh Chu. 2018. Let me tell you who you are. In *Proceedings of the FATREC 2018 Workshop: Responsible Recommendation*.

[15] Jaron Harambam, Natali Helberger, and Joris van Hoboken. 2018. Democratizing algorithmic news recommenders: how to materialize voice in a technologically saturated media ecosystem. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 376, 2133 (2018), 20180088.

[16] Jaron J Harambam. 2017. “The Truth Is Out There”: Conspiracy culture in an age of epistemic instability. (2017).

[17] Chen He, Denis Parra, and Katrien Verbert. 2016. Interactive recommender systems: A survey of the state of the art and future research challenges and opportunities. *Expert Systems with Applications* 56 (2016), 9–27.

[18] Natali Helberger, Kari Karppinen, and Lucia D’Acunto. 2018. Exposure diversity as a design principle for recommender systems. *Information, Communication & Society* 21, 2 (2018), 191–207.

[19] Dietmar Jannach, Sidra Naveed, and Michael Jugovac. 2016. User control in recommender systems: Overview and interaction challenges. In *International Conference on Electronic Commerce and Web Technologies*. Springer, 21–33.

[20] Yucheng Jin, Nava Tintarev, and Katrien Verbert. 2018. Effects of personal characteristics on music recommender systems with different levels of controllability. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*. ACM, 13–21.

[21] René F Kizilcec. 2016. How much information?: Effects of transparency on trust in an algorithmic interface. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, 2390–2395.

[22] Bart P Knijnenburg, Saadhika Sivakumar, and Daricia Wilkinson. 2016. Recommender systems for self-actualization. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*. ACM, 11–14.

[23] Jaya Kumar and Nava Tintarev. 2018. Using Visualizations to Encourage Blind-Spot Exploration. In *Recsys workshop on Interfaces and Decision Making in Recommender Systems*.

[24] Stuart E Middleton, Nigel R Shadbolt, and David C De Roure. 2004. Ontological user profiling in recommender systems. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)* 22, 1 (2004), 54–88.

[25] Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg S Corrado, and Jeff Dean. 2013. Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 26*, C. J. C. Burges, L. Bottou, M. Welling, Z. Ghahramani, and K. Q. Weinberger (Eds.). Curran Associates, Inc., 3111–3119.

[26] Eli Pariser. 2011. *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. Penguin UK.

[27] Denis Parra, Peter Brusilovsky, and Christoph Trattner. 2014. See what you want to see: visual user-driven approach for hybrid recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 19th international conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*. ACM, 235–240.

[28] Frank Pasquale. 2015. *The black box society*. Harvard University Press.

[29] Urbano Reviglio. 2019. Serendipity as an emerging design principle of the infosphere: challenges and opportunities. *Ethics and Information Technology* (2019), 1–16.

[30] Yuri Saito and Takayuki Itoh. 2011. MusiCube: a visual music recommendation system featuring interactive evolutionary computing. In *Proceedings of the 2011 Visual Information Communication-International Symposium*. ACM, 5.

[31] Rashmi Sinha and Kirsten Swearingen. 2002. The role of transparency in recommender systems. In *CHI’02 extended abstracts on Human factors in computing systems*. ACM, 830–831.

[32] Maartje ter Hoeve, Mathieu Heruer, Daan Odiik, Anne Schuth, and Maarten de Rijke. 2017. Do News Consumers Want Explanations for Personalized News Rankings. In *FATREC Workshop on Responsible Recommendation Proceedings*.

[33] Nava Tintarev. 2017. Presenting Challenging Recommendations: Making Diverse News Acceptable. In *FATREC Workshop on Responsible Recommendation at Recsys’17*.

[34] Nava Tintarev and Judith Masthoff. 2006. Similarity for news recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the AH’06 Workshop on Recommender Systems and Intelligent User Interfaces*. Citeseer.

[35] Nava Tintarev and Judith Masthoff. 2007. A survey of explanations in recommender systems. In *2007 IEEE 23rd international conference on data engineering workshop*. IEEE, 801–810.

[36] Nava Tintarev and Judith Masthoff. 2011. Designing and evaluating explanations for recommender systems. In *Recommender systems handbook*. Springer, 479–510.

[37] Nava Tintarev, Shahin Rostami, and Barry Smyth. 2018. Knowing the Unknown: Visualising Consumption Blind-Spots in Recommender System. In *ACM Symposium On Applied Computing (SAC)*.

[38] Nava Tintarev, Shahin Rostami, and Barry Smyth. 2018. Knowing the Unknown: Visualising Consumption Blind-Spots in Recommender System. In *ACM Symposium On Applied Computing (SAC)*.

[39] Nava Tintarev, Emily Sullivan, Dror Guldin, Sihang Qiu, and Daan Odiik. 2018. Same, Same, but Different: Algorithmic Diversification of Viewpoints in News. In *UMAP workshop on Fairness in User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization, in association with UMAP’18*.

[40] Edwin B Wilson. 1927. Probable inference, the law of succession, and statistical inference. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 22, 158 (1927), 209–212.

[41] Malte Ziewitz. 2016. Governing algorithms: Myth, mess, and methods. *Science, Technology, & Human Values* 41, 1 (2016), 3–16.